

## Exam Statistical Reasoning

Date: Friday, November 11, 2016

Time: 09.00-12.00

Place: 5419.0013 (Landleven 12 (Kapteynborg))

Progress code: WISR-11

### Rules to follow:

- This is a closed book exam. Consultation of books and notes is **not** permitted.
- Do not forget to write your name and student number on each paper sheet.
- There are 6 exercises and the number of points per exercise are indicated within boxes. You can reach 90 points. The exam grade  $g$  will be computed as follows:  $g = \frac{10+p}{100}$ , where  $p$  is the number of points you have reached.
- If you have to derive/show/compute something, then include the relevant equations and/or a short description so as to show how you obtained the result.
- **We wish you success with the completion of the exam!**

### START OF EXAM

#### 1. Posterior Distribution of Binomial-Beta Model. 15

Consider the random variables  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  which are independently Binomial-distributed with an unknown probability parameter  $\theta \in [0, 1]$  and a known sample size  $N$ :

$$Y_1, \dots, Y_n | \theta \sim \text{BIN}(N, \theta)$$

The prior of  $\theta$  is a Beta distribution with fix hyperparameters  $a > 0$  and  $b > 0$ :

$$\theta \sim \text{BETA}(a, b)$$

The realisations  $Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_n = y_n$  have been observed.

**EXERCISE:** Compute the posterior distribution of  $\theta$ .

#### HINTS:

(1) Recall the pdf of a Binomial distribution with parameters  $\theta \in [0, 1]$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $x = 0, \dots, N$ :

$$p(x|\theta, N) = \binom{N}{x} \cdot \theta^x \cdot (1 - \theta)^{N-x}$$

(2) Recall the pdf of a Beta distribution with parameters  $a > 0$  and  $b > 0$ . For  $x \in [0, 1]$ :

$$p(x|a, b) = \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \cdot x^{a-1} \cdot (1-x)^{b-1}$$

## 2. Marginal Likelihood of Poisson-Exponential Model. 15

Consider one single random variable  $Y$  whose distribution is a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\theta > 0$ . Conditional on  $\theta$  the density of  $Y$  is given by:

$$p(y|\theta) = \frac{\theta^y \cdot e^{-\theta}}{y!}$$

for all  $y \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Assume that the unknown parameter  $\theta$  is exponentially distributed with a fix hyperparameter  $\lambda > 0$ . The density of  $\theta$  is then:

$$p(\theta) = \lambda \cdot e^{-\lambda\theta}$$

for all  $\theta > 0$ .

**EXERCISE:** Show that the marginal distribution of  $Y$  has the density (pdf):

$$p(y) = \left(\frac{1}{1+\lambda}\right)^y \cdot \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} \quad (y \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

**HINTS:**

(1) Recall the pdf of a Gamma distribution with parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ :

$$p(x|\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \cdot x^{\alpha-1} \cdot e^{-\beta \cdot x}$$

## 3. Predictive Distribution of Multinomial-Dirichlet Model. 15

Let the random vector  $(N_1, \dots, N_K)^T$  be multinomial distributed with parameters  $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_K)$  and  $n$  so that the density (pdf) is given by:

$$p(n_1, \dots, n_K | \theta_1, \dots, \theta_K) = \frac{n!}{n_1! \cdot \dots \cdot n_K!} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^K \theta_k^{n_k}$$

where  $\theta_k > 0$  for all  $k$ ,  $\theta_1 + \dots + \theta_K = 1$ ,  $n_k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$  for all  $k$  and  $n_1 + \dots + n_K = n$ . Assume that the parameter vector  $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_K)^T$  is Dirichlet distributed with pdf:

$$p(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_K) = \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k)}{\prod_{k=1}^K \Gamma(\alpha_k)} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^K \theta_k^{\alpha_k - 1}$$

where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_K > 0$  are fixed hyperparameters. In the lecture we have shown (Exercise 1 on Assignment no. 2) that the posterior distribution of  $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_K)^T$ , given the realisations  $N_1 = n_1, \dots, N_K = n_K$ , is a Dirichlet distribution with parameters  $(n_1 + \alpha_1, \dots, n_K + \alpha_K)$ .

**EXERCISES:**

(a) Just give the pdf  $p(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_K | n_1, \dots, n_K)$  of the posterior distribution. 5

(b) Show that the predictive probability for a new realisation of the form

$$(\tilde{n}_1, \dots, \tilde{n}_{j-1}, \tilde{n}_j, \tilde{n}_{j+1}, \dots, \tilde{n}_K) = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$$

is given by:

$$p(\tilde{n}_1, \dots, \tilde{n}_K | n_1, \dots, n_K) = \frac{\alpha_j + n_j}{\alpha + n}$$

where  $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_K$ . 10

4. **Gibbs Sampling - Pseudo Code.** 10

Consider a Bayesian model with three unknown parameters  $\theta_1 \in \Theta_1$ ,  $\theta_2 \in \Theta_2$  and  $\theta_3 \in \Theta_3$ , and assume that the full conditional distributions can be computed in closed-form. Let  $\text{FCD}(\theta_1|\theta_2, \theta_3, D)$ ,  $\text{FCD}(\theta_2|\theta_1, \theta_3, D)$  and  $\text{FCD}(\theta_3|\theta_1, \theta_2, D)$  denote the full conditional distributions, where 'D' stands for the observed data.

**EXERCISE:** Give pseudo-code for an MCMC algorithm which generates a sample from the joint posterior distribution of  $\theta_1$ ,  $\theta_2$  and  $\theta_3$ .

**HINT:** Assume that there is neither need for a burn-in phase nor for thinning out.

5. **Hierarchical Bayesian Model - Coupled Variances.** 20

Consider a hierarchical Bayesian model where the data stem from two groups. There are the random variables  $Y_1, \dots, Y_{n_1}$  from group 1, and the random variables  $Z_1, \dots, Z_{n_2}$  from group 2. The observed data are the  $n := n_1 + n_2$  realisations:

$$Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_{n_1} = y_{n_1}, Z_1 = z_1, \dots, Z_{n_2} = z_{n_2}$$

The variables within the first group are Gaussian distributed with a known mean  $\mu$  and an unknown variance  $\sigma_1^2$ :

$$Y_1, \dots, Y_{n_1} | \sigma_1^2 \sim N(\mu, \sigma_1^2)$$

The variables within the second group are Gaussian distributed with the same known mean  $\mu$  but with another unknown variance  $\sigma_2^2$ :

$$Z_1, \dots, Z_{n_2} | \sigma_2^2 \sim N(\mu, \sigma_2^2)$$

The variance parameters  $\sigma_i^2$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are both assumed to be Inverse-Gamma distributed with hyperparameters  $a = 1$  and  $b > 0$ :

$$\sigma_1^{-2} \sim \text{GAM}(1, b)$$

$$\sigma_2^{-2} \sim \text{GAM}(1, b)$$

Since the hyperparameter  $b$  is unknown, it obtains a Gamma distribution with hyperparameters  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\beta > 0$  as hyperprior:

$$b \sim \text{GAM}(\alpha, \beta)$$

**EXERCISE:** Give a graphical model representation of this model and compute the three full conditional distributions. 5+5+5+5

**HINTS:**

(1) Recall the pdf of a Gamma distribution with parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ :

$$p(x|\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \cdot x^{\alpha-1} \cdot e^{-\beta \cdot x}$$

(2) Recall the pdf of a Gaussian  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  distribution. For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$p(x|\mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma} \cdot \exp\left\{-0.5 \cdot \frac{(x - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2}\right\}$$

## 6. Metropolis-Hastings Sampling and Monte Carlo Approximations. 15

Consider a hierarchical Bayesian model, where the sampling model consists of  $n$  variables  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  whose joint distribution depends on a parameter  $\theta_1 \in \Theta_1$ . Conditional on  $\theta_1$  the variables  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  are i.i.d. with the likelihood:

$$p(y_1, \dots, y_n | \theta_1) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(y_i | \theta_1)$$

where  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  are the observed realisations.

The prior distribution of  $\theta_1$  depends on a hyperparameter  $\theta_2 \in \Theta_2$ ; the density of  $\theta_1$  is thus of the form  $p(\theta_1 | \theta_2)$ , and the hyperprior of the hyperparameter  $\theta_2$  is a uniform distribution on  $\Theta_2$ .

Assume that a Metropolis-Hastings MCMC sampling algorithm is used to generate a sample of the joint posterior distribution. The algorithm consists of two substeps:

- The first step proposes to move from  $\theta_1$  to  $\theta_1^*$ , while  $\theta_2$  is left unchanged. The proposal mechanism is such that the new parameter  $\theta_1^*$  is always sampled from the prior distribution. Hence, the proposal probability is

$$Q((\theta_1, \theta_2), (\theta_1^*, \theta_2)) = p(\theta_1^* | \theta_2)$$

for all  $\theta_1, \theta_1^* \in \Theta_1$ , and  $\theta_2 \in \Theta_2$ .

- The second step proposes to move from  $\theta_2$  to  $\theta_2^*$ , while  $\theta_1$  is left unchanged. The proposal probability in this second step is always:

$$Q((\theta_1, \theta_2), (\theta_1, \theta_2^*)) = 0.2$$

for all  $\theta_1 \in \Theta_1$  and  $\theta_2, \theta_2^* \in \Theta_2$ .

**For the exercises below assume further that:**

$p(y_1, \dots, y_n | \theta_1) = 3$ ,  $p(y_1, \dots, y_n | \theta_1^*) = 2$ ,  $p(\theta_1 | \theta_2) = 0.5$ , and  $p(\theta_1 | \theta_2^*) = 0.4$ .

### EXERCISES:

- (a) Compute the Metropolis-Hastings acceptance probability for the first sub-move from  $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  to  $(\theta_1^*, \theta_2)$ . 5
- (b) Compute the Metropolis-Hastings acceptance probability for the second sub-move from  $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  to  $(\theta_1, \theta_2^*)$ . 5
- (c) Assume that you have a posterior sample  $(\theta_1^{(t)}, \theta_2^{(t)})_{t=1, \dots, T}$ . Give an equation for a Monte Carlo approximation of the predictive probability  $p(\tilde{y} | y_1, \dots, y_n)$ , i.e. for an approximation of the density of the predictive distribution at  $\tilde{y}$ . 5

**END OF EXAM**